Postdoctoral Fellowship Evaluation 2015-2016

1 Completely Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent
--	-------------------	---	----------------	----------------

Part I. Training Structure of the Program

<u>Rating Instructions</u>: In order to evaluate and improve the postdoctoral fellowship, we would like you to rate your experience with UCSD, the staff, and the training. Your individual responses to this portion of the evaluation are confidential and anonymous.

Please rate each of the following training structures (A through J) using the 7-point scale at the top of each page.

A. PRIMARY SUPERVISION (FIRST HALF)

<u>Goals of Primary Supervision</u>: To supervise overall clinical work with special focus on fine-tuning short term therapy skills and mentoring the development of professional psychologists in clinical practice. Conduct formal evaluations.

With the goals of primary supervision in mind, please rate the next five items using the 7-point scale above.

		*	Ratings
1.	How effective has your primary supervision been in achieving the stated goals?		
2.	Rate the <u>overall quality</u> of the supervision.		
3.	How much have interactions with your supervisor contributed to improvement in your therapy or professional work?		
4.	How effective is primary supervision as a <u>learning structure</u> in the program?		
5.	Overall, how <u>personally satisfied</u> have you been with primary supervision in terms of meeting your needs?	n	

6. How could your primary supervision be improved?

1 Completely Unsatisfactory	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent
-----------------------------------	---------------------	---	-------------------	---	----------------	----------------

A. PRIMARY SUPERVISION (SECOND HALF)

<u>Goals of Primary Supervision</u>: To supervise overall clinical work with special focus on fine-tuning short term therapy skills and mentoring the development of professional psychologists in clinical practice. Conduct formal evaluations.

With the goals of primary supervision in mind, please rate the next five items using the 7-point scale above.

)	۲	Ratings
1.	How effective has your primary supervision been in achieving the stated goals?		
2.	Rate the <u>overall quality</u> of the supervision.		
3.	How much have interactions with your supervisor contributed to <pre>improvement</pre> in your therapy or professional work?		
4.	How effective is primary supervision as a <u>learning structure</u> in the program?		
5.	Overall, how <u>personally satisfied</u> have you been with primary supervision in terms of meeting your needs?	1	

6. How could your primary supervision be improved?

B. SECONDARY SUPERVISION

<u>Goals of Secondary Supervision</u>: To supervise, as needed, the outreach and consultation services provided as part of a decentralized college counseling center, as well as mentorship on various aspects of the delivery of clinical services provided by health service psychologists.

RATING SCALE

Ratings

1 Completely Unsatisfactory	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent

With the goals of each aspect of secondary supervision in mind, please rate the next five items using the 7-point scale above.

- 1) Consultation and Outreach Supervision (in area of emphasis): supervises consultative and outreach activities in area of clinical emphasis. May co-lead in a specific outreach project.
- 1. How effective has the supervision been in achieving the stated goals?
- 2. Rate the overall quality of the supervision.
- 3. How much have interactions with your supervisor contributed to improvement in your therapy or professional work?
- 4. How effective is the supervision as a learning structure in the program?
- 5. Overall, how personally satisfied have you been with this aspect of secondary supervision in terms of meeting your needs?
- 6. How could the supervision be improved?
- 2) Co-leadership/Co-facilitation Supervisor: co-leads and supervises work with an individual, couple, or group
- 1. How effective has the supervision been in achieving the stated goals?
- 2. Rate the overall quality of the supervision.
- 3. How much have interactions with your

•		
	Ratings	S

	1 Completely nsatisfactory	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent	
	_		ributed to or profes					
4.		earning st	s the supe tructure i		_			
5.	have yo	ou been w	rsonally s ith the su ting your	pervision				
6.	How combe impo		apervision	1				
at							each activ low may be	
1.	superv	fective haision been goals?	as the n in achie	eving the	* <u>Ra</u>	tings_		
2.	Rate the supervi		l quality	of the				
3.	superv	isor cont	nteraction ributed to or profes	improvem	<u>ient</u>			
4.		earning st	s the supe tructure i		_			
5.	have yo	ou been w	rsonally s ith the su ting your	pervision	·			
6.	How co	uld the si	upervision	1				

be improved?

RATING SCALE 1 Completely Unsatisfactory Unsatisfa

D. MEETINGS AND SEMINARS

<u>Goals</u>: To hold meetings, didactic seminars, and workshops in areas that prepare for professional practice in health service psychology. Using the same 7-point scale, rate the following items:

1. Rate the <u>quality and training value</u> of each training experience for the year.

	Quality	Training Value
a) <u>Support Group</u>		
b) Professional Development Seminars (such as supervision of supervision, finding a job, journal club, case presentations, and presentations to the staff)		
c) Staff led In-service Trainings		
d) Continuing Education Trainings for All Staff		

E. CASE REVIEW TEAM

<u>Goals</u>: Small group consultation, discussion of intakes and ongoing cases. Some formal case presentations as well as discussion of professional issues. Exposure to different styles and approaches. Professional feedback conducted on an informal, collegial basis. Please use the 7-point scale to assess:

2. Please comment on how these meetings/seminars might be improved.

1.	How	effect	tive	has	your	Case	Review	Team	been	in		
	ach:	ieving	its	goal	<u>s</u> ?							

2.	Rate	the	overall	quality	of	your	team	meetings.	
----	------	-----	---------	---------	----	------	------	-----------	--

		R.A	ATING SCAL	<u>E</u>		
1 Completely Unsatisfactory	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent
3. How ef:	fective is	s it as a	learning	structure	in the	

4. Overall, how <u>personally satisfied</u> have you been with your team in terms of meeting your needs?

5. How can case review be improved?

Part II. Consultation and Outreach Structure of the Program

F. COLLEGE CONSULTANT ROLE

program?

<u>Goals</u>: To acquire consultation/outreach experience and expertise by assignment to a college, serving as a professional resource for the college system (e.g., workshops for HAs/RAs, Parent Orientations, Leadership Retreats, etc.) and as a general "in-house" consultant for the administrative staff (e.g., deans, academic advisors, etc.). Please use the 7-point scale to assess:

How effective has this position been in achieving the consultation/outreach training goals?
 Rate the effectiveness of your supervisor as a source of learning and support in this role.

4. Overall, how <u>personally satisfied</u> have you been with this position in meeting your needs?

5. How can this training experience be improved?

G. CLINICAL AND OUTREACH EMPHASIS

Goals: To acquire knowledge and expertise working within a

RATING	SCALE

1 Completely Unsatisfactory	2 Unsatisfactory	3 Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent
-----------------------------------	---------------------	---	-------------------	---	----------------	----------------

specific clinical focus or providing outreach and consultation to specific populations. Please use the 7-point scale to assess:

- 1. How effective has this position been in achieving the consultation/outreach Emphasis goals?
- 2. Rate the <u>effectiveness</u> of your emphasis supervisor as a source of learning and support in this role.
- 3. How effective is this position as a learning structure in the training program?
- 4. Overall, how <u>personally satisfied</u> have you been with this position in meeting your needs?
- 5. How can this training experience be improved?

Part III. Administrative Structures of the Program

A. ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF MEETINGS

<u>Goals</u>: Planning and organizing various direct and preventive services, such as intake scheduling, emergency coverage, groups and workshops, etc. Announcements of University events, review of system-wide decisions and policy changes, general housekeeping tasks. Please use the 7-point scale to assess:

- 1. How effective have the CAPS Staff meetings
 been in achieving their goals?
- 2. Rate the <u>overall quality</u> of these meetings.
- 3. How <u>effective</u> is it as an administrative vehicle for the agency?
- 4. Overall, how <u>personally satisfied</u> have you been with it in terms of meeting your needs?

1 Completely Unsatisfactory	2 3 Somewhat less than satisfactory	4 Satisfactory	5 Somewhat more than satisfactory	6 Very Good	7 Excellent
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------	---	----------------	----------------

5. How can the CAPS Staff meetings be improved?

Part IV. Overall Fellowship Experience

- A. Please rate (using the 7-point scale) the <u>overall</u> <u>effectiveness</u> of the postdoctoral fellowship for your professional training and development:
- B. In your experience, what are the most <u>successful features</u> of the program?

C. What are the $\underline{\text{least successful}}$ features? (Particularly comment on things that might have interfered with your training or development.)

D. How might the shortcomings of the program be improved or changed? (Address issues that you have not already covered in the earlier sections.)